

Reply to “A Response to ‘Does Natural Selection Exist?’”

Nathaniel Jeanson, Institute for Creation Research, 1806 Royal Lane, Dallas, Texas 75229.

In this three-part ~35,000-word response, Guliuzza (2014a, b, c) fails to clearly define his terms and/or use them consistently. This fact makes logical analysis of his arguments impossible, and makes his conclusions scientifically incoherent.

Sadly, this is the same problem I identified in his original *Acts & Facts* article series (Guliuzza 2011a; Guliuzza 2011b; Guliuzza 2011c; Guliuzza 2012a; Guliuzza 2012b)—failing to clearly define and/or consistently use the term “natural selection.” I’m disappointed that little has changed since (besides word count).

I have nothing personal against Randy, and I admire his eagerness to tackle the natural selection/adaptation/speciation question, a critical issue for the young-earth creation movement. I had hoped that my original critique (Jeanson 2013) would prompt more careful scientific reanalysis on his part. Unfortunately, it seems to have multiplied the errors.

References

- Guliuzza, R. 2011a. Darwin’s sacred imposter: Recognizing missed warning signs. *Acts & Facts* 40, no. 5:12–15. <http://www.icr.org/article/darwins-sacred-imposter-recognizing/>.
- Guliuzza, R. 2011b. Darwin’s sacred imposter: The illusion that natural selection operates on organisms. *Acts & Facts* 40, no. 9:12–15. <http://www.icr.org/article/darwins-sacred-imposter-illusion-that/>.
- Guliuzza, R. 2011c. Darwin’s sacred imposter: Natural selection’s idolatrous trap. *Acts & Facts* 40, no. 11:12–15. <http://www.icr.org/article/darwins-sacred-imposter-natural-selections/>.
- Guliuzza, R. 2012a. Darwin’s sacred imposter: Answering questions about the fallacy of natural selection. *Acts & Facts* 41, no. 2:12–15. <http://www.icr.org/article/darwins-sacred-imposter-answering-questions/>.
- Guliuzza, R. 2012b. Engineered adaptability. *Acts & Facts* 41, no. 10:11–14. <http://www.icr.org/article/engineered-adaptability/>.
- Guliuzza, R. 2014a. A response to “Does natural selection exist?": Creatures’ adaptation explained by the design-based, organism-driven approach—Part 1. *Answers Research Journal* 7:403–420.
- Guliuzza, R. 2014b. A response to “Does natural selection exist?": Creatures’ adaptation explained by the design-based, organism-driven approach—Part 2. *Answers Research Journal* 7:421–436.
- Guliuzza, R. 2014c. A response to “Does natural selection exist?": Creatures’ adaptation explained by the design-based, organism-driven approach—Part 3. *Answers Research Journal* 7:437–450.
- Jeanson, N. 2013. Does natural selection exist? A critique of Randy Guliuzza’s claims. *Answers Research Journal* 6: 285–292.

