Reply to “A Response to ‘Does Natural Selection Exist?’”

Nathaniel Jeanson, Institute for Creation Research, 1806 Royal Lane, Dallas, Texas 75229.

In this three-part ~35,000-word response, Guliuzza (2014a, b, c) fails to clearly define his terms and/or use them consistently. This fact makes logical analysis of his arguments impossible, and makes his conclusions scientifically incoherent.

Sadly, this is the same problem I identified in his original Acts & Facts article series (Guliuzza 2011a; Guliuzza 2011b; Guliuzza 2011c; Guliuzza 2012a; Guliuzza 2012b)—failing to clearly define and/or consistently use the term “natural selection.” I’m disappointed that little has changed since (besides word count).

I have nothing personal against Randy, and I admire his eagerness to tackle the natural selection/adaptation/speciation question, a critical issue for the young-earth creation movement. I had hoped that my original critique (Jeanson 2013) would prompt more careful scientific reanalysis on his part. Unfortunately, it seems to have multiplied the errors.

References

