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INTRODUCTION

God is not mocked, whatever a man sows, this he will also reap.
— Galatians 6:7

Ideas are like seeds. They might seem small; they might seem insignificant; they might even go unnoticed by all except those who hold them in the moment. But let there be no doubt: both ideas and seeds are incredibly powerful. From seeds dropped in fertile ground grow the mighty oaks that anchor the land, altering the course of the rivers and wind. And from ideas planted in the fertile soil of the human mind grow the thoughts and convictions of mankind, altering the course of history for the world and the individual.

In the mid-1800s, as the sailing ship Beagle cut through the oceans, a theologian and amateur biologist was formulating an idea. Without the insight of modern-day genetics and supported by superficial observations, his ideas began to solidify into an hypothesis: the belief of evolution. In his history-altering book The Origin of the Species, Charles Darwin conceptualized a world where life spontaneously came into being and then changed over time by the forces of nature into the phenomenal
complexity and diversity of life (including human beings) we now see on this planet.

Like a seed, the idea was firmly planted in Charles’s mind, where it began to grow and mature. Through his writings and lectures, the seed then became planted in the minds of others. Soon the idea had taken root in the gardens of the scientific community. Blown by the winds of society, the idea of evolution found its way into the fields of the education systems of the young. Its seeds spread into the laws of government. Soon enough, its roots began to infiltrate the mind of the Church, where it began to choke out the faith many held in the Word of God. In time, this single idea overtook almost the entire garden of Western thinking.

It didn’t take long for the fruit of this garden to begin to ripen. Nowhere has this been more obvious than in the area of racism. While Darwin himself probably never imagined the impact his idea would have on the culturally diverse peoples of the earth, history has shown us how evolutionary thought fuels racism and how racists use evolution to justify their hatred for those who are different from them.

In the pages ahead, we will explore the tightly knit relationship between Darwinian evolution and racism in all its forms. Historically, scientifically, and (most important, of course) biblically, we will seek answers to the perplexing and devastating cultural problem of racism.

Evolutionists like Hitler treated the Jews, Gypsies, and other groups as inferior. He therefore argued that they needed to be eliminated. Today, depending on the country, marriages between different people groups often result in persecution for the parents and the children. Current attempts at bloody “ethnic cleansing” are the result of hatred of one particular people group toward another. Even within segments of the Church, intense prejudice can be seen toward those whose skin is of a different shade.

All of these problems and many others concerning racism and prejudice could easily be solved if new seeds of truth from God’s Word (properly interpreted alongside scientific fact) were planted and cultivated in
our minds. To that end, I asked Dr. A. Charles Ware to join me in the writing of this book. Charles is an international life coach on race/ethnic reconciliation matters. Since 1993, he has spearheaded many national Multicultural Ministry Conferences and has served on the Race Relations Advisory Team for the Hudson Institute. Dr. Ware currently serves as the president emeritus of Crossroads Bible College and is founder and CEO of the Grace Relations Network. Both have gained national recognition as leaders modeling multicultural ministry. He lives in Indianapolis, Indiana, with his wife, Sharon, and six children. God has blessed us with a wonderful friendship and a common vision for the message of our ministries.

In chapter 1, “Darwin’s Garden,” we examine the fruit of evolution with respect to racism. Racism has shown its ugly face throughout the ages — a consequence of sin and the Fall. From continent to continent, we see bloody examples of what happens when man’s thinking replaces biblical truth and how racism has been fertilized by the belief of evolution.

Chapter 2 follows racism into the 20th and 21st centuries. Dr. Ware will reveal the history of scriptural abuse and misuse that has been used to justify, support, and propagate racism while bringing light to the plight of minorities. Sadly, the discussion must also take an honest look at the Church, where evolutionary thinking and racism share common roots. These roots go deeper than you might think. Several years ago in Australia, I was having a conversation with a Bible college student. He declared that missionaries should not waste their time preaching to the Aboriginal people of Australia. He believed they were not of Adam’s race and therefore could not be saved. His attitude was not unusual. Over the centuries, some missionaries, as terrible as this is, have not seen the need to take the gospel to falsely so-called “primitive” tribes because they are not considered sufficiently “human” on the evolutionary scale.

One professor in the 1880s wrote: “I consider the Negro to be a lower species of man and cannot make up my mind to look upon him as
‘a man and a brother,’ for the gorilla would then also have to be admitted into the family.”1 Too many in the Church have failed to take God at His word and have instead injected humanistic and evolutionary thinking into their worldview and morality. The seemingly insignificant seeds of Darwinism are now spreading across our land, multiplying themselves as they go.

For they sow the wind and they reap the whirlwind (Hosea 8:7).

The scope and the intensity of the racism/Darwinism relationship are dark and discouraging. More than half of a century has passed since the horrors of the Nazi racial extermination camps were revealed to a disbelieving world. There are many other examples of what is called “ethnic cleansing” and genocide in this world’s history. Yet the battle against ethnic hatred and violence remains one of the burning issues of our time. Billions of dollars are spent fighting it. The well-known TV personality Oprah once devoted entire programs to it. Presidents consult civic and religious leaders for answers. Everyone seems to be wrestling with the problems of racial prejudice . . . yet solutions evade us.

Is the continued spreading of Darwin’s garden unstoppable? I say no. In this book we will bring great hope and light through scientific and biblical fact. In the brilliant light of God’s Word, the roots of Darwinian evolution and racism will be exposed . . . and in that light, the roots will begin to shrivel.

In chapter 3, “The True Origin of Species,” I’ll give you an overview of genetics, natural selection, and the belief of evolution. You’ll see how the scientific facts match up with biblical revelation, a revelation that destroys the possibility of Darwinian evolution and uproots the weeds of racism.

In chapter 4, “Humankind,” we will apply biblical and scientific principles to humanity. You’ll discover the genetic foundation behind

---

the diversity that God has given us. It’s not only a fascinating look at the way that God created us, but it also reveals the shallowness of Darwinian belief and evolutionary thinking in regard to racism.

In chapter 5, “One Blood,” we’ll reveal what the word “race” truly means. Are there really multiple races of humans? Where did this concept originate? The answers will change the way you look at yourself and those around you forever. By exploring the personal implications of the evidence on a heart level, you’ll begin to experience the truth of God’s Word in new ways.

In chapter 6, “One Flesh,” we will apply biblical and scientific principles to marriage and dating relationships to reveal God’s plan for families from differing cultural backgrounds.

In chapter 7, “Grace Relations,” Dr. Ware begins to unveil a dream for the future — a vision for the Church and society built on grace and unity rather than on racial hatred and division. His vision is acute; his plan is reasonable.

In chapter 8, “New Seeds,” he will show the way into a new relationship with each other that transcends race and embraces grace in a living reflection of the fact that we are all one in Jesus Christ.

Following this chapter, Dr. Ware presents several extremely useful appendices. In appendix A, Dr. Ware will show how the homosexual movement has hijacked the civil rights movement. By using similar rhetoric and strategies, they are attempting to draw false parallels between their struggles and those of African Americans. By building their case on evolutionary thinking, the homosexual movement has falsely aligned itself with the struggles of the civil rights movement. It is another consequence of the spread of Darwin’s garden, but under biblical scrutiny, Dr. Ware will pull these false parallels out by the roots.

In appendices B, C, D, and E, Dr. Ware will supply us with several highly practical tools for implementing a strategy to create multi-ethnic communities of worship and fellowship. By the time we are done, you’ll
not only know the history, theology, and science regarding evolution and racism, but you will also be equipped to do something about it in your own personal life, in your church, and in the world!

Ideas are like seeds, small and yet incalculably powerful. Darwin’s garden continues to grow, fertilizing the roots of racism. As believers in Jesus Christ, using the truth of His Word as our tools, we have the opportunity to root out the assumptions of Darwinism and plant new seeds of truth from God’s Word and scientific fact. A new garden can be planted and nurtured — but this time the fruit will not be racism; it will be love and unity in the name of Jesus Christ.

I first became specially interested in this topic in 1975. It was my first year teaching science in a public school. As a Christian, I also taught students the history of mankind based on God’s Word. After I had explained how the event of the Tower of Babel resulted in the human population being split up into groups that moved away from each other, developing different people groups, I explained that all humans were related to each other — all one family. At the end of the lesson, three students who were from the Australian Aboriginal people came up to me and asked me to explain more. I realized that because of the influence of Darwinian evolution, this group of people were shockingly treated as less than human. I was burdened to help them understand they were my relatives and all descendants of Adam and Eve — like every other person who has ever lived or will live. What a difference I saw in them when they understood this. Because of this situation, I began to study this topic and determined I was going to do my best to confront racism in the church and culture in any way I was able to.

Let us not lose heart in doing good, for in due time we will reap if we do not grow weary. So then, while we have opportunity, let us do good to all people (Gal. 6:9–10; emphasis mine).

— Ken Ham
Biological arguments for racism may have been common before 1859, but they increased by orders of magnitude following the acceptance of evolutionary theory.
— Stephen Jay Gould, a leading evolutionist (Ontogeny and Phylogeny, 1977)

He crouched in the corner of the cage. With his head between his knees and his arms pulling his legs tightly to his chest, he shielded himself as best he could from the crowd. The iron bars around him offered a certain level of physical protection from the mob that swirled around him — but they did nothing to protect him from the stares, from the laughter, from the jeers that rained down upon him day after day after day. Coins and stones pelted his flesh, the crowd hoping to instigate some sort of reaction. His infrequent backlashes of anger only incited them further.

Thousands of miles from his home and the graves of his slaughtered ancestors, he dreamed of the days when he moved freely and intently through his homeland. He longed to hunt again with his kinsman. He starved for the warm immersion of fellowship with his wife and children.
But that was all behind him now. His family and his tribe had been murdered in the name of evolution. And now he cowered in the cage, a prisoner in Darwin’s garden.

A MAN NAMED “OTA”

Ota Benga was born in 1881 in Central Africa as a member of the Mbuti people group, where he grew strong and keen in the ways of the wilderness. The husband of one and the father of two, he returned one day from a successful elephant hunt to find that the camp he called home had ceased to exist. His wife, children, and friends lay slaughtered, their bodies mutilated in a campaign of terror by the Belgian government’s thugs against “the evolutionary inferior natives.” Ota was later captured, taken to a village, and sold into slavery.

He was first brought to the United States from the Belgian Congo in 1904 by the noted African explorer Samuel Verner, who had bought him at a slave auction. At 4’11”, weighing a mere 103 pounds, he was often referred to as “the boy.” In reality, he was a son, a husband, and a father. Ota was first displayed as an “emblematic savage” in the anthropology wing of the 1904 St. Louis World’s Fair. Along with other people who were called pygmies, he was studied by scientists to learn how the “barbaric races” compared with intellectually defective Caucasians on intelligence tests and how they responded to things such as pain. ¹

The July 23, 1904, Scientific American reported:

They are small, ape-like, elfish creatures . . . they live in absolute savagery, and while they exhibit many ape-like features in their bodies, they possess a certain alertness which appears to make them more intelligent than other Negroes. . . . the existence of the pygmies² is of the rudest; they do not practice agriculture,

². The word “pygmy” is considered offensive by many of this people group. Today, those of the Congo basin in Central Africa include the Mbenga, Mbuti, and Twa.
and keep no domestic animals. They live by means of hunting and snaring, eking this out by means of thieving from the big Negroes, on the outskirts of whose tribes they usually establish their little colonies, though they are as unstable as water, and range far and wide through the forests. They have seemingly become acquainted with metal only through contact with superior beings.

They failed to mention 1902 research by H.H. Johnston in the *Smithsonian Report* that found these people to be a very talented group. When studied in their natural environment, Johnston found that they were experts at mimicry, and they were physically agile, quick, and nimble. They were exceptional hunters, with highly developed social skills and structure. While outsiders considered them primitive, these people actually held strong monotheistic beliefs about God. More recent research has confirmed, “The religion of the Ituri Forest Pygmies is founded on the belief that God possesses the totality of vital force, of which he distributes part to his creatures, an act by which he brings them into existence or perfects them. . . . According to a favorite pygmies saying, ‘He who made the light also makes the darkness.’” When Verner had visited their African king, “He was met with songs and presents, food and palm wine, drums. He was carried in a hammock.”

But the Darwinists failed to take note of any of these things. Such observations didn’t fit their preconceived notions of evolution or their view that these particular people groups were inferior, sub-human beings. Shamefully, when the Africans were displayed in St. Louis, they were greeted with laughter, staring, poking, and prodding. “People came to take their picture and run away . . . some came to fight with them. . . . Verner had contracted to bring pygmies safely back to Africa. It was often

---

3. This group identify themselves as the Mbuti or Bambuti.
a struggle just to keep them from being torn to pieces at the fair. Repeatedly . . . the crowds became agitated and ugly; pushing and grabbing in a frenzied quality. Each time Ota and the Batwa\textsuperscript{5} were extracted only with difficulty.\textsuperscript{6}

The exhibit was said to be “exhaustively scientific” in its demonstration of the stages of human evolution. Therefore, they required the darkest blacks to be clearly distinguished from the dominant whites. Ota’s presence as a member of “the lowest known culture” was meant to be a graphic contrast with the Caucasians, who represented humanity’s “highest culmination.”

Meanwhile, the anthropologists in charge of the display continued their research by testing and measuring. In one case “the primitive’s head was severed from the body and boiled down to the skull.” Believing that skull size was an index of intelligence, the scientists were amazed to discover that the “primitive” skull was larger than that which belonged to the statesman Daniel Webster.\textsuperscript{7}

After the fair, Verner took Ota and the other Batwa back to Africa. Ota soon remarried, but his second spouse died from a venomous snakebite. He was also ostracized from his own people because of his association with the “white” people. Back in his homeland, Ota had found himself entirely alone. He returned to America with Verner, who said he would take him home to Africa on his next trip. It was not to be. Once back in America, Verner tried to sell the crates of artifacts that he brought back from Africa. He had serious money problems and could not afford to take care of Ota.

When Verner presented Ota to Dr. Hornady, the director of the Bronx Zoological Gardens, it was clear that he would again go on display — but this time, the display took on an even more sinister twist. On September 9, 1906, \textit{The New York Times} headline screamed, “Bushman

\textsuperscript{5} Today they identify as the Echuya Batwa.
\textsuperscript{6} Ibid., p. 118–119.
\textsuperscript{7} Ibid., p. 16.
shares a cage with Bronx Park apes.” Although Dr. Hornady insisted that he was merely offering an “intriguing exhibit” for the public, the *New York Times* reported that Dr. Hornady “apparently saw no difference between a wild beast and the little black man; and for the first time in any American zoo, a human being was being displayed in a cage.”

On September 10, the *New York Times* reported:

There was always a crowd before the cage, most of the time roaring with laughter, and from almost every corner of the garden could be heard the question “Where is the pygmy?” The answer was, “In the monkey house.”

Bradford and Blume, who extensively researched Ota’s life for the book *Ota Benga; The Pygmy in the Zoo*, noted:

The implications of the exhibit were also clear from the visitor’s questions. Was he a man or a monkey? Was he something in between? “Ist das ein Mensch?” asked a German spectator. “Is it a man?” . . . No one really mistook apes or parrots for human beings. This “it” came so much closer. Was it a man? Was it a monkey? Was it a forgotten stage of evolution?

Dr. Hornady was a staunch believer in Darwin’s evolutionary belief. The *New York Times* on September 11, 1906, reported that he had concluded that there was “a close analogy of the African savage to the apes” and that he “maintained a hierarchical view of the races. . . .”

The display was extremely successful. On September 16, 40,000 visitors came to the zoo. The crowds were so enormous that a police officer was assigned to guard Ota full time because he was “always in danger of being grabbed, yanked, poked, and pulled to pieces by the mob.”

Not all condoned the frenzy. A group of concerned black ministers went to Ota’s defense. The September 10 *New York Times* reported

---

Reverend Gordon saying, “Our race . . . is depressed enough without exhibiting one of us with the apes.” On September 12, however, the New York Times retorted by saying, “The reverend colored brother should be told that evolution . . . is now taught in the textbooks of all the schools, and that it is no more debatable than the multiplication table.”

The media frenzy eventually led to Ota being released from the cage, but the spectacle continued. The New York Times reported on September 18, “There were 40,000 visitors to the park on Sunday. Nearly every man, woman, and child of this crowd made for the monkey house to see the star attraction in the park — a wild man from Africa. They chased him about the grounds all day, howling, cheering, and yelling. Some of them poked him in the ribs, others tripped him up, all laughed at him.”

Eventually, Hornady himself was worn down (either by the media pressure or by the exhaustion that the spectacle had created). Ota was released from the zoo. In the following months, he found care at a succession of institutions and with several sympathetic individuals. In 1910, he arrived at a black community in Lynchburg, Virginia, where he found companionship and care. He became a baptized Christian and his English vocabulary rapidly improved. He regularly cared for the children, protecting them and teaching them to hunt. He also learned how to read and occasionally attended classes at a Lynchburg seminary. Later he was employed as a tobacco factory worker.

But Ota grew increasingly depressed, hostile, irrational, and forlorn. When people spoke to him, they noticed that he had tears in his eyes when he told them he wanted to go home. Concluding that he would never be able to return to his native land, on March 20, 1916, Ota pressed a revolver to his chest and sent a bullet through his heart.

THE SEEDS OF RACISM

The belief of Darwinian evolution claims that human beings changed “from-molecules-to-man” over millions and millions of years, with one
of our intermediate states being that of the apes. *This belief logically implies that certain “races” are more ape-like than they might be human.* Ever since the idea of evolution became popular and widespread, Darwinian scientists have been attempting to form continuums that represent the evolution of humanity, with some “races” being placed closer to the apes, while others are placed higher on the evolutionary scale. These continuums are formed solely by outward appearances and are still used today to justify racism — even though modern genetics has clearly proven that our differences, few as they might be, are no deeper than the skin.

On the last page of his book *The Descent of Man*, Charles Darwin expressed the opinion that he would rather be descended from a monkey than from a “Savage.” In describing those with darker skin, he often used words like “savage,” “low,” and “degraded” to describe American Indians, people groups from Africa, and almost every ethnic group whose physical appearance and culture differed from his own. In his work, those once called pygmies have been compared to “lower organisms” and were labeled “the low integrated inhabitants of the Andaman Islands.”

Although racism did not begin with Darwinism, Darwin did more than any person to popularize it. After Darwin “proved” that all humans descended from apes, it was natural to conclude that some so-called “races” had descended further than others. In his opinion, some “races” (namely the “white” ones) have left the others far behind, while other races (especially certain groups from Africa) have hardly matured at all. The subtitle of Darwin’s classic 1859 book, *The Origin of the Species*, was *The Preservation of Favoured Races in the Struggle for Life*. The book dealt with the evolution of animals in general, and his later book, *The Descent of Man*, applied his ideas to humans.

As the seeds of Darwinism continued to spread in the 1900s, the question being asked was “Who is human and what is not?” The answers

were often influenced by the current interpretations of Darwinism.\textsuperscript{10} The widely held view was that those referred to as blacks (there are no truly really black or white people) evolved from the strong but less intelligent gorillas, the Orientals evolved from the orangutan, and whites evolved from the most intelligent of all primates, the chimpanzees.\textsuperscript{11} Across the globe, such conclusions were used to justify racism, oppression, and genocide.

Within decades, however, evolution would be used as justification for the whites of Europe to turn upon themselves. The fruits of Darwinian evolution, from the Nazi conception of racial superiority to its utilization in developing their governmental policy, are well documented. The works of J. Bergman in \textit{Perspectives on Science and the Christian Faith}, June 1992, and March 1993, are just a few examples of vast amounts of material that show the connection between evolutionary thinking and Hitler’s genocidal slaughter of innocent human beings.

Jim Fletcher recalls these vivid impressions from visiting the Holocaust Museum in Washington, D.C.:

The railroad car, once you realize what it represents, forces you in, although not in the same way that people it memorializes were forced off aboard so many decades ago. The odd smell — which many visitors say must be the smell of death — can’t be scrubbed away. It shouldn’t be, for it reminds our senses in a visceral way of what happens when men leave God, and malevolent ideas go unchallenged. . . . When Adolph Hitler looked for a “final solution” for what he called the “Jewish problem” — the fact of the Jews’ existence — he had only to recall what scientists like Ernest Haeckel and liberal theologians embraced: that a purposeless process, known as evolution, had generated all of life’s complexity, including civilization itself. It had done so

\textsuperscript{10} Bradford and Blume, \textit{Ota Benga; The Pygmy in the Zoo}, p. 304.
\textsuperscript{11} T.G. Crookshank, \textit{The Mongol in Our Midst} (New York: E.F. Dutton, 1924).
through a pitiless procedure of the strong eliminating the weak. As the influence of this idea spread, the Bible was increasingly taught as myth.\textsuperscript{12}

Continued racism on European soil has resulted in bitter struggles and untold bloodshed between those of different “races” who occupy the same lands. The ethnic conflict between the Serbs and Croats, the dissolution of Czechoslovakia into the Czech Republic and Slovakia are just a few examples.

The effect of Darwinism on racism, however, is certainly not limited to Europe. The fruit of Darwin’s garden was (and is) being reaped in my homeland of Australia, which was involved in a gruesome trade in “missing link” specimens fueled by early evolutionary and racist ideas. Documented evidence shows that the remains of perhaps 10,000 or more of Australia’s indigenous people were shipped to British museums in a frenzied attempt to prove the widespread belief that they were the “missing link.” Evolutionists in the United States were also strongly involved in this flourishing industry of gathering species of “sub-humans.” (The Smithsonian Institution in Washington holds the remains of numerous individuals!) Along with museum curators from around the world, some of the top names in British science were involved in this large-scale grave robbing trade. These included anatomist Sir Richard Cohen, anthropologist Sir Arthur Keith, and Charles Darwin himself. Darwin wrote asking for Tasmanian skulls when only four of the island’s Aboriginal people were left alive, provided that the request not “upset” their feelings.

Some museums were not only interested in bones but also in fresh skins. These were sometimes used to provide interesting evolutionary displays when they were stuffed.\textsuperscript{13} Good prices were being offered for such “specimens.” Written evidence shows that many of the “fresh” specimens were obtained by simply going out and murdering the aboriginal people

\textsuperscript{12} From the foreword to \textit{One Blood}, by Ken Ham (Green Forest, AR: Master Books).
in my country. An 1866 deathbed memoir from Korah Wills, mayor of Bowen in Queensland, Australia, graphically describes how he killed and dismembered local tribesmen in 1865 to provide a scientific specimen.

Edward Ramsay, curator of the Australian Museum in Sydney for 20 years starting in 1874, was heavily involved. He published a booklet for the museum that gave instructions not only on how to rob graves but also on how to plug bullet wounds from freshly killed “specimens.” Many freelance collectors worked under his guidance. For example, four weeks after Ramsay had requested skulls of Bungee Blacks, a keen young scientist sent him two of them, announcing, “The last of their tribe, had just been shot.”

The seeds from Darwin’s garden even spread as far as Asia, where evolutionary thinking was used to justify acts of racism and genocide. In order to justify their nation’s expansionist aggression, the Japanese had been told that they were the most “highly evolved” race on earth. After all, the Europeans, with their longer arms and hairy chests, were clearly closer to the ape, weren’t they? Westerners returned in kind, of course, often portraying the Japanese as uncivilized savages in order to dehumanize killing them with weapons of mass destruction.

In North America, Darwinism was used to justify colonial slavery as well as the elimination of “savage native tribes” who hindered the European’s westward expansion in the name “Manifest Destiny.” People on various continents wanted to “prove” that their “race” originated first. As a result, the Germans trumpeted Neanderthal fossils, the British did the same with Piltdown Man, and so on. Members of the Ku Klux Klan justified their racism on the basis that they are a more evolutionary advanced race. The current Christian Identity Movement (explained later in this book) believes that Jews and blacks are not really human at all.

Today, Darwinism and evolutionary thinking also enable ordinary, respectable professionals — otherwise dedicated to the saving of life

— to justify their involvement in the slaughter of millions of unborn human beings, who (like the Aboriginal people of earlier Darwinian thinking) are also deemed “not yet fully human.”

**HOW DID WE GET HERE?!**

Six thousand years ago, God created a perfect world and fashioned the first two humans in His image. Humans were created to rule under God and to care for all of God's creation. After the Flood, God restated this plan to Noah and his three sons. According to God's Word, all the people on earth today descended from Noah's three sons, who descended from the first man, Adam. So, we all share the same bloodline. We're all brothers and sisters, siblings and cousins, in the same family.

- We're all created by God. *God formed man of dust from the ground* (Gen. 2:7).
- We're all in God's image. *God said, “Let Us make man in Our image”* (Gen. 1:26).
- We're all one family. *He [God] has made from one blood every nation* (Acts 17:26; NKJV).
- We're all loved by God. *God so loved the world that He gave His only begotten Son* (John 3:16).

While Darwinian evolution has often been used to justify genocide and racism, God's Word clearly condemns the abuse of others. God said to Noah and his sons, “Only you shall not eat flesh with its life, that is, its blood . . . from every man's brother I will require the life of man. Whoever sheds man's blood, by man his blood shall be shed, for in the image of God He made man” (Gen. 9:4–6).

God's Word condemns a long list of abuses: the abuse of the unborn, the abuse of the young, the abuse of the old, the sick, and the poor. Principles derived from God's Word also condemn discrimination based on language, culture, gender, or skin tone.
God’s Word says that all people after the Flood descended from Noah’s three sons. “These three were the sons of Noah, and from these the whole earth was populated” (Gen. 9:19). At Babel, mankind rebelled against God and refused to follow His Word. They lifted themselves up as the ultimate authority and began a cycle of abuse that has been repeated by people in every generation. Later, the events of the Tower of Babel split up the human gene pool. Different combinations of genes in different groups resulted in some people having predominately light skin, some having predominately dark skin, and others with every shade in between.

With our current understanding of genetics, we now know that these biological differences are superficial and insignificant. Our physical differences are merely the result of different combinations of physical features that God put in the human gene pool at creation. Because of the small genetic differences involved and the genetic diversity God created to begin with,
the appearance of different people groups was very recent. This could have occurred quickly in small populations only a few generations after the Tower of Babel, as groups of people spread throughout the different regions of the earth.

The rebellion of man at this critical moment in history, however, forever set these unique people groups against each other. Ethnic hatred, fighting, and “racism” have been the norm ever since. Man against man, nation against nation, the murder of Australian Aboriginal people, mockery of African tribes, slavery of black Americans, slaughter of the Jews — the list goes on and on — and the only way humans can justify their evil actions is to abuse the truth about history, science, and the Word of God.
Abuse against fellow humans knows no boundaries. Over one hundred years ago, some Aboriginal people in Australia used “death shoes” to sneak up on their victims, usually at early dawn, to murder them. Sometimes the assassin was sent officially by the tribe; sometimes he acted out of private revenge. The death shoes, made of emu feathers, left no traceable track. The upper part of the shoe is made of human hair.

In the mid-19th century, various distortions of the Bible and science were used to try to justify slavery. Some denied the biblical truth that all are descended from Adam and Eve. Others distorted what the Bible says to argue falsely that dark skin color was a curse upon Noah’s son Ham.

Perhaps the most infamous abuse of evolution to justify racism was Adolf Hitler’s Nazi regime, which promoted a master race and sought to exterminate Jews, Romani, Poles, etc., what they falsely called inferior races. Historian Arthur Keith described this particularly insidious harvest from Darwin’s garden with these words in the book *Evolution and Ethics*:

> To see evolutionary measures and tribal morality being applied rigorously to the affairs of a great modern nation, we must turn again to Germany of 1942. We see Hitler devoutly convinced that evolution provides the only real basis for a national policy. . . . The German Fuhrer, as I have consistently maintained, is an evolutionist; he has consciously sought to make the practice of Germany conform to the theory of evolution.15

Genocide as a state policy — such as in the Soviet Union, China, and Nazi Germany — has been condemned since the end of World War II. The world saw the effects on “racism” through the lens of the Holocaust, but has human wisdom and effort been able to curtail it?

The word “racism,” of course, has its roots in “race,” the concept that there are distinct racial groups throughout the world: Asia, Europe, the

---